

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

North Platte/White/Yampa - Grazingland

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: North Platte/White/Yampa - Grazingland		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds with total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) where available, groundwater contamination or point sources such as contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement for water conservation or irrigation efficiency using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in water use?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Will the treatment that you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable benefits to residue management, nutrient management, air quality management, invasive species management, pollinator habitat, and animal carcass management technology or pest management?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Will the treatment that you intend to implement using EQIP result in energy conservation benefits?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the project reduce the amount of nutrients/pesticides/salt/selenium or other pollutants entering ground or surface waters?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the planned practice(s) promote water conservation on the contracted acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the project address invasive and/or noxious plants on contracted acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the project result in an improvement to the existing management system to meet the state AFO/CAFO regulations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Does the project increase the diversity of desirable plants on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Does the project improve the health of riparian and/or wetland areas?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Is the proposed project located within the State NRCS wildlife priority area, and do the planned practices address the habitat needs of the targeted species designated in the wildlife priority area, or, is the project planned for pollinator habitat?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Will the proposed project reduce field soil loss to below "T", or, will the planned practice(s) reduce irrigation induced or streambank erosion?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Does the applicant meet one or more of the following conditions: a. Did the applicant successfully complete any past EQIP contract(s) in full compliance; or, b. If the applicant has an existing EQIP contract has it been, and is it now, on schedule and in full compliance; or, c. The applicant has never had an EQIP contract?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Has any portion of the offered acreage been set aside or inventoried by a Cultural Resources Specialist or an Archeologist?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. Does the proposed project support organic transition (farming operation to be used while transitioning from conventional to organic production)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Does the proposal improve grazing land health, by increasing plant diversity with the the application of brush management?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Does the proposal improve grazing land health, by increasing plant diversity with the re-establishment of native forbs, grasses and shrubs on native rangeland?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Does the proposal improve grazing land health by increasing plant diversity with the establishment of introduced plant species on pasture and hayland?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the project improve grazing distribution of animals with the installation of permanant water sources with spacing based on terrain and travel distance to draw animals away from heavy use areas?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Does the proposal install a cross fence to facilitate a switchback or deferred grazing system that rests the same pasture during the same season in consecutive years?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Does the proposal install a cross fence to facilitate a deferred grazing system that rests the same pasture during the different seasons in consecutive years /or/ facilitates a rest rotation system?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Will the project improve deteriorated riparian areas by installing fence to facilitate prescribed grazing in riparian areas?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Will the project improve deteriorated riparian areas by establishing adapted native vegetation to protect eroding streambanks and adjacent areas?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Will the project install appropriate structural erosion control practices to treat streambank and/or channel erosion?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Will the participant manage livestock grazing according to a prescribed grazing plan or follow forage harvest recommendations developed by NRCS?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. Will the participant implement an integrated Pest Management Plan?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. Does the proposal address energy conservation with the application of a Conservation Power Plant (practice code 716)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. Is the project to be installed on lands that have been withdrawn or expired from CRP (or will be in the next year), and, will the project facilitate keeping the ground in grassland instead of being broken out for crops?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

Efficiency: Local Issues: State Issues: National Issues: Final Ranking Score:
--

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

NRCS Representative:	Application Signature Not Required for Contract Development unless required by State policy:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: